



Senate Fiscal Agency
P.O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536



Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 8 (as introduced 1-8-25)
Sponsor: Senator Kevin Hertel
Committee: Regulatory Affairs

Date Completed: 2-11-25

CONTENT

The bill would amend the Improved Workforce Opportunity Wage Act to prescribe annual minimum wage rates for employees in the State.

The Improved Workforce Opportunity Wage Act will take effect February 21, 2025. (For more information, see **BACKGROUND.**)

Generally, the Act prescribes minimum hourly wage rates in the State and requires the State Treasurer to annually adjust the rates by the rate of inflation. Beginning in 2019, the Act prescribes a minimum hourly wage rate of \$10 that increases every year to a rate of \$12 in 2022. Under the bill, the minimum wage would be as follows:

- Beginning February 21, 2025, \$12.48.
- Beginning January 1, 2026, \$13.73.
- Beginning January 1, 2027, \$15.00.

For service employees that receive tips, the Act reduces its minimum hourly wage rates by specified percentages, such as 48% of the minimum hourly wage rate established in 2019. Under the bill, the minimum hourly wage rate for an employee who received tips would be as follows:

- Beginning February 21, 2025, 38% of the minimum hourly wage rate.
- Beginning January 1, 2026, 40% of the minimum hourly wage rate.
- Beginning January 1, 2027, 42% of the minimum hourly wage rate.
- Beginning January 1, 2028, 44% of the minimum hourly wage rate.
- Beginning January 1, 2029, 46% of the minimum hourly wage rate.
- Beginning January 1, 2030, 49% of the minimum hourly wage rate.
- Beginning January 1, 2031, 51% of the minimum hourly wage rate.
- Beginning January 1, 2032, 53% of the minimum hourly wage rate.
- Beginning January 1, 2033, 55% of the minimum hourly wage rate.
- Beginning January 1, 2034, 57% of the minimum hourly wage rate.
- Beginning January 1, 2035, 60% of the minimum hourly wage rate.

MCL 408.934 et al.

BACKGROUND

In 2017, the One Fair Wage Michigan coalition began a petition drive to initiate a new law, the Improved Workforce Opportunity Wage Act. The proposed legislation increased the State's minimum wage each year until reaching \$12 in 2022. After gathering the required number of petitions, the coalition submitted the proposal to the Secretary of State for canvass by the Board of State Canvassers (the Board). The Board submitted the proposal to the Legislature,

which adopted the Act without amendment as Public Act (PA) 337 of 2018. As a result, the Act was not submitted to voters for their approval during the 2018 midterm elections.

Following the elections, the Legislature enacted PA 368 of 2018, which amended the Improved Workforce Opportunity Wage Act to increase the minimum wage each year until reaching \$12.05 in 2030, instead of 2022.

As a result, the One Fair Wage Michigan coalition brought an action in the Court of Claims against the State of Michigan. The plaintiffs alleged that it was unconstitutional for the Legislature to adopt and amend initiated legislation within the same legislative session (2017-2018). The State argued that the Constitution did not prevent the Legislature from doing so. The Court ruled in favor of the plaintiffs, stating that PA 368 was unconstitutional and void. As a result, PA 337 remained in effect. The Court of Appeals later reversed this decision; however, the Michigan Supreme Court (MSC) upheld the Court of Claims' original decision in July 2024. In accordance with PA 337's original effective date of 205 days after its enactment, the MSC determined that PA 337 will take effect February 21, 2025, 205 days after the MSC's final decision.

Legislative Analyst: Nathan Leaman

FISCAL IMPACT

The bill likely would increase State and local revenue by an unknown and likely minimal amount beginning in Fiscal Year 2025-26, depending on the number of affected employees and the number of hours they work.

Under the terms of the MSC's ruling in *Mothering Justice v. Nessel*, ___ Mich ___ (2024) (No. SC 165325), Michigan's minimum wage will increase from \$10.56 per hour to \$12.48 per hour, effective February 21, 2025. Subsequent changes will occur every February 21 in later years, raising the minimum hourly wage rate to \$13.29 in 2026, \$14.16 in 2027, and \$14.97 in 2028. After the 2028 increase, the hourly minimum wage will continue to increase based on inflation.

The bill would implement a new progression for the minimum wage, keeping the 2025 changes, but raising the minimum hourly wage every January 1 thereafter to levels higher than would occur under the Court ruling, with the wage rising to \$13.73 in 2026, \$15.00 in 2027, and adjusting for inflation beginning in 2028. The bill's fiscal impact would reflect the differences between the rates under the Court decision (as opposed to the current minimum wage) and those created under the bill.

The number of employees affected by the bill likely would be relatively small and remain so even as the minimum hourly wage rate rose in future years. The Federal minimum wage is currently \$7.25 per hour and, in 2023, the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) reported that approximately 1% of workers paid at hourly rates (about 60% of all wage and salary employment), were paid less than the Federal minimum wage. The BLS also reports that for Michigan, the 10th percentile for wages in 2023 (the level where only 10% of workers made less) was \$13.88 per hour. Without accounting for any wage gains since 2023, these figures suggest a small percentage of hourly workers make less than the current Michigan minimum wage (including those who are exempt from minimum wage provisions) and that relatively few employees would be affected by the bill.

Higher wages would increase State income tax revenue and, to the degree some of the increased earnings were spent on taxable items, sales tax revenue; however, any revenue increases would be minimal and indistinguishable from the normal variation that occurs in

revenue collections. Under the bill, a worker affected by minimum wage provisions would make approximately 44 cents per hour more in 2026, and 84 cents per hour more in 2027. If such a worker averaged 40 hours per week, the maximum increase in the worker's income tax liability would be \$39 in 2026 and \$74 in 2027. As such, the total change in individual income tax revenue would be less than 0.1%, and to the extent hourly workers that work less than 40 hours per week or a meaningful number of low-wage hourly employees were exempt from the provisions of the bill, the revenue impact would be even less. Similarly, given that less than 40% of personal income is spent on items subject to sales and use taxes, any revenue impact on sales and use taxes would be even less than the impact on income taxes. For local units that levy income taxes, the bill would have similar relative impacts, although local income tax rates are less than the State's tax rate.

The bill could affect business income tax liabilities, although between the increased number of factors that affect business tax liabilities, the minimal impact the bill likely would have on workers' income taxes, and the relative size of business tax liabilities relative to personal income tax liabilities would suggest any change in business tax liabilities would be negligible.

Fiscal analyst: Nathan Leaman
David Zin

SAS\S2526\s8sa

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.