



**Senate Fiscal Agency**  
**P. O. Box 30036**  
**Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536**

BILL



ANALYSIS

**Telephone: (517) 373-5383**  
**Fax: (517) 373-1986**

Senate Bill 285 (Substitute S-1 as reported)  
Senate Bill 286 (as reported without amendment)  
Sponsor: Senator Steven Bieda (S.B. 285)  
Senator Rick Jones (S.B. 286)  
Committee: Judiciary

Date Completed: 9-3-13

### **RATIONALE**

Under the Michigan Penal Code, a person who owns, possesses, is in charge of, or has custody of an animal may not engage in acts of animal neglect or cruelty. Depending on the number of animals involved, and the number of prior convictions for animal neglect or cruelty, a violation is either a misdemeanor or a felony. The highest offense is a felony, involves 10 or more animals or two or more prior convictions, and carries a maximum penalty of four years' imprisonment, a \$5,000 fine, and 500 hours of community service.

The Penal Code also prohibits a person from knowingly killing, torturing, mutilating, maiming, disfiguring, or poisoning an animal, or committing a reckless act knowing or having reason to know that it will cause an animal to be killed, tortured, mutilated, maimed, or disfigured. This offense is a felony punishable by up to four years' imprisonment, a maximum of \$5,000 to \$20,000, depending on the number of animals, and up to 500 hours of community service.

Some people believe that these penalties are not sufficient for egregious violations. It has been suggested that the Penal Code should include enhanced penalties for additional degrees, or classifications, of these crimes, and the sentencing guidelines should take into account whether an offense involved numerous animals or a pet.

### **CONTENT**

**Senate Bill 285 (S-1) would amend the Michigan Penal Code to do the following:**

- **Establish first, second, and third degrees of killing or torturing an animal, and increase the maximum prison term for a first- or second-degree offense.**
- **Revise and enhance the graduated penalties for animal neglect or cruelty.**
- **Extend current animal neglect or cruelty prohibitions to a breeder or pet shop operator.**
- **Establish a felony penalty for animal neglect or cruelty by a breeder or pet shop operator who had five or more prior convictions under Public Act 287 of 1969 (which regulates pet shops).**
- **Allow a court to include at least five years' probation as part of a sentence for an animal neglect or cruelty involving 25 or more animals, or three or more prior convictions.**

**Senate Bill 286 would amend the Code of Criminal Procedure to do the following:**

- **Revise the sentencing guidelines for animal neglect or cruelty and establish sentencing guidelines**

**designations for the penalties proposed by Senate Bill 285 (S-1).**

- **Establish sentencing guidelines designations for first-, second-, and third-degree violations involving animal torture.**
- **Revise sentencing guidelines scoring requirements for several offense variables.**

Senate Bill 286 is tie-barred to Senate Bill 285.

### **Senate Bill 285 (S-1)**

#### **Animal Neglect or Cruelty**

Under Section 50 of the Penal Code, a person who owns, possesses, is in charge of, or has custody of an animal may not do any of the following:

- Fail to provide an animal with adequate care.
- Cruelly drive, work, or beat an animal, or cause it to be cruelly driven, worked, or beaten.
- Carry or cause to be carried in or upon a vehicle or otherwise any live animal whose feet or legs are tied together, except an animal being transported for medical care or a horse whose feet are hobbled to protect it during transport, or in any other cruel and inhumane manner.
- Carry or cause to be carried a live animal in or upon a vehicle or otherwise without providing a secure space, rack, car,

crate, or cage in which livestock may stand and in which all other animals may stand, turn around, and lie down during transport or while awaiting slaughter.

- Abandon an animal or cause an animal to be abandoned, without making provisions for the animal's adequate care, unless premises are vacated for the protection of human life or the prevention of injury to a human.
- Negligently allow any animal, including one who is aged, diseased, maimed, hopelessly sick, disabled, or nonambulatory, to suffer unnecessary neglect, torture, or pain.
- Tether a dog unless the tether is at least three times the length of the dog as measured from tip of its nose to the base of its tail and is attached to a harness or nonchoke collar designed for tethering.

Under the bill, those prohibitions also would apply to a breeder and an operator of a pet shop. "Breeder" would mean a person who breeds animals for the purpose of making a profit. "Pet shop" would mean a place where animals are sold or offered for sale, exchange, or transfer.

Currently, a violation of Section 50 is punishable as shown in Table 1, based on the number of animals involved, the prior convictions of the offender for this crime, and whether an animal died. An offender also may be ordered to pay the costs of prosecution.

Table 1

<b>Animals Involved or Prior Convictions</b>	<b>Max. Imprisonment</b>	<b>Max. Fine</b>	<b>Max. Community Service</b>
1 animal <sup>1)</sup>	93 days	\$1,000	200 hours
2 or 3 animals, or the death of an animal <sup>1)</sup>	1 year	\$2,000	300 hours
4 to 9 animals, or 1 prior conviction <sup>2)</sup>	2 years	\$2,000	300 hours
10 or more animals, or 2 or more priors <sup>2)</sup>	4 years	\$5,000	500 hours
<sup>1)</sup> misdemeanor <sup>2)</sup> felony			

Under the bill, a violation of Section 50 would be punishable as shown in Table 2.

Table 2

<b>Animals Involved or Prior Convictions</b>	<b>Max. Imprisonment</b>	<b>Max. Fine</b>	<b>Max. Community Service</b>
1 animal <sup>1)</sup>	93 days	\$1,000	200 hours
2 or 3 animals, or the death of an animal <sup>1)</sup>	1 year	\$2,000	300 hours
4 to 9 animals, or 1 prior conviction <sup>2)</sup>	2 years	\$2,000	300 hours
10 to 24 animals, or 2 prior convictions <sup>2)</sup>	4 years	\$5,000	500 hours
25 or more animals, or 3 or more priors <sup>2)</sup>	7 years	\$10,000	500 hours
<sup>1)</sup> misdemeanor <sup>2)</sup> felony			

As part of the sentence imposed for a violation involving 25 or more animals, or an offender with three or more prior convictions, the court could place the defendant on probation for any term of years, but not less than five years.

If a breeder or pet shop owner violated Section 50 (regardless of the number of animals or prior convictions) and he or she had five or more prior convictions for violating Public Act 287 of 1969, the violation would be a felony punishable by imprisonment for up to two years, a maximum fine of \$5,000, or both. (Public Act 287 of 1969 generally prohibits pet shop operators from selling dogs or cats that are too young, have not been inoculated, or lack veterinarian health certificates and other health records. A violation of the Act is a misdemeanor.)

#### Killing or Torturing an Animal

Under the Code, a person may not do any of the following without just cause:

- Knowingly kill, torture, mutilate, maim, disfigure, or poison an animal.
- Commit a reckless act knowing or having reason to know that it will cause an animal to be killed, tortured, mutilated, maimed, or disfigured.

The bill would establish first-, second-, and third-degree offenses for killing or torturing an animal. The degree of the violation generally would depend on several criteria: 1) which of the above actions a person carried out; 2) whether a person intentionally committed that act; and 3) whether the involved animal was a companion animal.

A first- or second-degree offense would include knowingly killing, torturing, mutilating, maiming, disfiguring, or poisoning an animal. In a first-degree offense, the act would have to be intentional *and* involve a companion animal. In a second-degree offense, the act would have to be intentional *or* involve a companion animal.

A violation that was not intentional and did not involve a companion animal would be a third-degree offense.

Currently, a violation is a felony punishable by up to four years' imprisonment and/or a maximum fine of \$5,000 for a single animal and \$2,500 for each additional animal involved in the violation, but not more than a total of \$20,000, and/or up to 500 hours of community service.

Under the bill, first-, second-, and third-degree killing or torturing of animals would be felonies, punishable as shown in Table 3.

Table 3

Degree of Offense	Max. Imprisonment	Max. Fine	Max. Community Service
First	10 years	\$5,000	500 hours
Second	7 years	\$5,000	500 hours
Third	4 years	\$5,000	500 hours

In addition, the court could order a term of imprisonment imposed for the violation to be served consecutively to a term of imprisonment imposed for any other crime, including any other violation of law arising out of the same transaction as a violation of Section 50b.

The bill would define "companion animal" as a domestic or feral dog or cat, guinea pig, hamster, rabbit that is not raised for human food or fiber, exotic or native animal or bird, reptile, or any other animal that is feral or under the care, custody, or ownership of a person or any animal that is bought, sold, traded, or bartered by a person. The term would not include an agricultural animal, a game animal, or an animal regulated under Federal law as a research animal.

### **Senate Bill 286**

#### **Sentencing Guidelines Designations**

Currently, animal neglect or cruelty involving four or more animals but fewer than 10, or one prior conviction, is a Class G felony against the public order, with a statutory maximum sentence of two years' imprisonment. Under the bill, that offense would be a Class F felony.

Animal neglect or cruelty involving 10 or more animals, or two or more prior convictions, is a Class F felony against the public order, with a statutory maximum sentence of four years' imprisonment. Under the bill, instead, animal neglect or cruelty involving 10 or more animals, but fewer than 25, or two prior convictions would be a Class E felony against the public order with a statutory maximum sentence of four years' imprisonment.

The bill also would add sentencing guidelines designations, as shown in [Table 4](#).

Table 4

Offense	Felony Class & Category	Stat. Max. Sentence
Neglect or cruelty involving 25 or more animals, or 3 or more prior convictions	E-Public Order	7 years
Neglect or cruelty by breeder or pet shop operator with 5 or more prior violations of Public Act 287 of 1969	E-Public Order	2 years

Currently, killing or torturing animals is a Class F felony against property, punishable by a statutory maximum sentence of four years' imprisonment. The bill would classify

first-, second-, and third-degree offenses of killing or torturing animals, as shown in [Table 5](#).

Table 5

Offense	Felony Class & Category	Stat. Max. Sentence
First degree	D-Property	10 years
Second degree	E-Property	7 years
Third degree	F-Property	4 years

## Sentencing Guidelines Scoring

The Code of Criminal Procedure includes instructions for calculating sentencing guidelines scores, based on a formula that considers offense variables (OVs) and prior record variables.

Offense variable 4 is psychological injury to a victim. The bill would require five points to be assigned for OV 4 for first-, second-, or third-degree killing or torturing of animals if serious psychological injury requiring professional treatment occurred to the owner of a companion animal.

Offense variable 10 is exploitation of a vulnerable victim. The Code requires 10 points to be assigned for OV 10 if the offender exploited a victim's physical disability, mental disability, youth or agedness, or a domestic relationship, or the offender abused his or her authority status. Five points must be assigned if the offender exploited a victim by his or her difference in size or strength, or both, or exploited a victim who was intoxicated, under the influence of drugs, asleep, or unconscious. "Exploit" means to manipulate a victim for selfish or unethical purposes. Under the bill, the term also would mean to violate Section 50b of the Michigan Penal Code (killing or torturing an animal) for the purpose of manipulating a victim for selfish or unethical purposes.

Offense variable 16 is property obtained, damaged, lost, or destroyed. The bill would require 25 points to be assigned for OV 16 for a conviction under Section 50 of the Penal Code (animal neglect or cruelty) if the property involved were more than 25 animals. Ten points would have to be assigned for a conviction under Section 50 if the property involved were 10 or more, but fewer than 25, animals.

Offense variable 19 is threat to the security of a penal institution or court or interference with the administration of justice or the rendering of emergency services. The bill would require 10 points to be assigned for OV 19 if the offender directly or indirectly violated a personal protection order.

MCL 750.50 & 750.50b (S.B. 285)  
777.16b et al. (S.B. 286)

## **ARGUMENTS**

*(Please note: The arguments contained in this analysis originate from sources outside the Senate Fiscal Agency. The Senate Fiscal Agency neither supports nor opposes legislation.)*

### **Supporting Argument**

The current penalties for crimes against animals can fall far short in egregious cases. Michigan law should include enhanced penalties for crimes that involve large numbers of animals, companion animals, intentional abuse, psychological trauma to a pet owner, and repeat offenders, as well as pet shop owners or breeders with multiple violations of the pet shop law. Currently, the most severe penalty for animal neglect or cruelty applies to cases involving 10 or more animals, or two or more prior offenses. Crimes involving the killing or torturing of animals are not distinguished based on whether the animal was a companion animal, or whether the offender intentionally committed the act. The bills would factor in these circumstances, and adjust penalties accordingly.

Also, Senate Bill 286 would include enhanced sentencing guidelines for acts intended to manipulate, or cause psychological injury to, a victim. In cases of domestic abuse and child abuse, abusers may manipulate victims through threats and violence against family pets, which can cause psychological harm to the pet owner.

According to the American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (ASPCA), the bills are a necessary response to recent cases involving deliberate cruelty to specific kinds of animals, hoarding and neglect of large numbers of animals in breeding facilities and pet stores, and threatening or retaliatory abuse of animals in domestic situations.

There are numerous accounts of animal hoarders who have subjected animals to cruel and inhumane conditions. According to Fox 17, in July 2013, a Holland woman had 22 cats in her home. Authorities seized 15 of the cats and required the home owner to catch the rest. Reportedly, the home was littered with feces and urine, and the cats were covered in fleas. Fox 17 also reported that in March 2013, after 352 dogs were rescued from their home, a couple in Allegan County received a sentence of two months in jail for two counts of animal cruelty. The dogs had feces in their fur, and nails that

curled under their paws. According to the *Livingston Daily*, in January 2013, a woman in Washtenaw County had 45 dogs crammed into one room in her house, shed, and cages in the shed. Many of the dogs suffered from heartworms and were undersocialized, so they required treatment and training before they could be adopted. According to Wood TV 8, in 2011, animal control rescued more than 80 dogs and four cats from a Howard City woman's house. Eight of the dogs had to be euthanized. According to WZZM 13, authorities raided a Newaygo resident's home in 2011 and found 85 animals, mostly dogs. Many of the animals were malnourished or very sick, and many had to be euthanized.

An example of an egregious offense by a pet shop operator involves a 2012 animal neglect and cruelty case against a Dearborn Heights pet shop. Reportedly, after hearing complaints about animals being subjected to abuse and deplorable living conditions, authorities raided the shop and found dead animals on the floor, scarce food and water for pets, and unsanitary conditions. According to multiple media sources, after the raid, over 200 animals had to be relocated to the Michigan Humane Society. The pet shop operator pleaded no contest to one count of cruelty to over 10 animals, 20 counts of pet shop statute violations for failure to properly inoculate the animals, and 26 other charges, and was sentenced to five years' probation.

According to mLive, in 2012, over 300 animals were seized from a breeder's home in Kalamazoo. Reportedly, the location was suited to accommodate only 36 animals. Three pit bulls had escaped from the home and were running at large, and one had injuries that the breeder allegedly neglected to properly care for. The dogs were found in extremely unsanitary conditions. The home lacked proper ventilation, and strongly smelled of urine and feces, both inside and outside; and the animals did not have adequate veterinary care.

These examples illustrate the need for stronger penalties in the worst cases of animal neglect, abuse, and cruelty.

### **Supporting Argument**

The bills could make it easier for law enforcement to target and isolate individuals, such as murderers, domestic abusers, and other violent offenders, who pose a danger to society. Many studies and reports suggest that animal abusers are likely to commit

other violent crimes. For example, many sources refer to a 2001-2004 study by the Chicago Police Department that evidently examined the arrest records of more than 300 people who had been arrested for animal cruelty. According to the Humane Society of the United States (HSUS), this study found that over 65% of those people had been arrested for battery against another individual. The HSUS also cites numerous articles that document the connection between animal cruelty and human violence, including a 2005 *Journal of Community Health* article finding that pet abuse is one of four predictors of domestic partner violence. In addition, according to an HSUS article published in *Juvenile and Family Justice Today* (spring 2012), statistics show that animal abusers are five times more likely to commit violent crimes against people, and a 2004 study "confirmed that one of the factors associated with persistence in aggressive and anti-social behavior is aggression toward people and animals in childhood" (*The Pittsburg Study*). This article also describes many examples of juveniles who started out with animal abuse and moved on to humans, including serial killers Jeffery Dahmer, Ted Bundy, and David Berkowitz.

Ultimately, by establishing harsher penalties for crimes against animals, the bills could help prevent violent crimes against individuals.

Legislative Analyst: Glenn Steffens

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

By creating new offenses and increasing the maximum sentences for crimes associated with cruelty to animals, the bills could have an indeterminate negative fiscal impact on State and local government by increasing criminal justice costs of both incarceration and community supervision. In 2011, there were three felony dispositions for cruelty to 10 or more animals, and none resulted in prison time. However, also in 2011, there were 45 felony dispositions for the crime of killing or torturing an animal, of which seven resulted in prison sentences.

Fiscal Analyst: Dan O'Connor

#### **A1314\S285a**

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.