

Legislative Analysis



ALLOW ISD SUPERINTENDENTS TO SERVE AS LOCAL DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENTS

Mitchell Bean, Director
Phone: (517) 373-8080
<http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa>

House Bill 6008

Sponsor: Rep. Terry Brown

House Bills 6009 & 6010

Sponsor: Rep. Michael Lahti

Committee: Education

Complete to 4-13-10

A SUMMARY OF HOUSE BILLS 6008 - 6010 AS INTRODUCED 3-25-10

The bills would amend three acts to enable an intermediate school district superintendent to also serve simultaneously as local school district superintendent.

House Bill 6009 is tie-barred to House Bills 6008 and 6010, meaning that it could not go into effect unless the other bills also were enacted into law. A more detailed description of each bill follows.

House Bill 6008 would amend Public Act 566 of 1978 (MCL 15.183), which prohibits the holding of incompatible public offices, to specify that the act does not prohibit a superintendent of an intermediate school district from serving simultaneously as superintendent of a local school district, or prohibit an intermediate district from contracting with another person to serve as a local superintendent, even if the local district is a constituent district (that is to say, the local district is located within the intermediate district's geographic boundaries) of the intermediate district.

House Bill 6009 would amend the Revised School Code (MCL 380.1229) to specify that a school district, instead of directly employing a superintendent of schools, could contract with its intermediate school district for the intermediate superintendent to serve as the district superintendent. Further, an intermediate district could also provide another person to serve as superintendent for the local school district.

House Bill 6010 would amend Public Act 317 of 1968 (MCL 15.323a), which concerns the conduct of public servants in respect to contracts with public entities, to specify that the act does not prohibit a superintendent of an intermediate school district from serving simultaneously as superintendent of a local school district, or prohibit an intermediate district from contracting with another person to serve as a local superintendent, even if the local district is a constituent district of the intermediate district.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The bills would have no fiscal impact on the State and an indeterminate fiscal impact for districts and ISDs. To the extent that the bills would allow districts and ISDs to reduce staff costs by sharing a superintendent, it could create local savings.

Legislative Analyst: J. Hunault
Fiscal Analyst: Mary Ann Cleary
Bethany Wicksall

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.