

ELECTION CONSOLIDATION REVISIONS

Mitchell Bean, Director
Phone: (517) 373-8080
<http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa>

House Bill 5990

Sponsor: Rep. Fulton Sheen

House Bill 5998

Sponsor: Rep. Ruth Ann Jamnick

House Bill 5991

Sponsor: Rep. Craig DeRoche

House Bill 5999

Sponsor: Rep. Joe Hune

House Bill 5992

Sponsor: Rep. Chris Ward

House Bill 6000

Sponsor: Rep. Frank Accavitti, Jr.

House Bill 5993

Sponsor: Rep. John Stahl

House Bill 6001

Sponsor: Rep. Matt Milosch

House Bill 5994

Sponsor: Rep. Ruth Johnson

House Bill 6002

Sponsor: Rep. David Palsrok

House Bill 5995

Sponsor: Rep. Bill Huizenga

House Bill 6003

Sponsor: Rep. Scott Hummel

House Bill 5996

Sponsor: Rep. Jacob Hoogendyk

House Bill 6004

Sponsor: Rep. Brian Palmer

House Bill 5997

Sponsor: Rep. Doug Hart

House Bill 6005

Sponsor: Rep. Jerry O. Kooiman

Committee: Local Government and Urban Policy
Complete to 6-15-04

A SUMMARY OF HOUSE BILLS 5990 - 6005 AS INTRODUCED 6-9-04

Public Acts 298-306 of 2003 made comprehensive changes to Michigan Election Law, notably establishing four regular election dates each year and requiring all elections to be held on those days, with the exception of a very limited number of special elections; requiring that school elections be conducted by local units of government under the state election law rather than by school districts under the Revised School Code; and establishing the permissible dates for cities, villages, and townships to conduct their election of officers. Most of the provisions take effect January 1, 2005, although provisions allowing local units to choose among permitted election dates take effect September 1, 2004. A number of bills have been introduced to address technical issues remaining after the passage of the so-called election consolidation package. A description of these "cleanup" bills follows.

House Bill 5990 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.301) to prohibit a school election coordinator from delegating any duties to the secretary of the school board. Under recently enacted legislation, a school district coordinator who is a county clerk can delegate duties to the city or township clerk. Those duties include distributing, receiving, and processing absent voter ballot applications, making voting systems available, and making available the list of election inspectors for the city or township. The bill would retain all of these provisions, and add the prohibition that no duties be delegated to the secretary of the school board.

House Bill 5991 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.305) to permit a city or township clerk to consolidate election precincts, in the manner provided for under the law, when conducting school and local elections at the same time.

House Bill 5992 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.309) to require a written acceptance of office and a written affidavit of eligibility from school board members. The bill requires that after certification of an election, each member-elect be notified of the election. After notification, or appointment to the board, each person would be required to file with the secretary of the board, an acceptance of the office to which he or she has been elected or appointed. The secretary of the board would then be required to forward a copy of the acceptance to the school district election coordinator.

House Bill 5993 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.311) to require that a school district election coordinator be notified of any person appointed to fill a vacancy on a school board. The bill specifies that within three days after an appointment was made to fill a vacancy in an elected office in a school district, the secretary of the school board would be required to notify the school district election coordinator, in writing, of the name, address, and office of the person who vacated the office, as well as the person filling the office.

House Bill 5994 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.316) to provide for the procedure to recall school board members. The bill specifies that each member of a board of a school district, a local act school district, or an intermediate school district would be subject to recall by the school electors of the district, in the manner prescribed in chapter XXXVI of the election law.

House Bill 5995 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.381) to specify that filing for a village office would be with the township clerk, if the township was conducting the election. If the village was located in more than one township, then the filing for a village office would be with the township in which the largest number of the registered electors of the village resided.

House Bill 5996 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.500f) to require a township clerk to transfer the information necessary to complete the village registration to a village clerk, only in the case of an election for village officers conducted by a village.

House Bill 5997 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.642) to clarify various matters of scheduling for local elections. Under the recently enacted election consolidation legislation, a city that holds its regular election for a city office annually on the November regular election date, would continue to do so. House Bill 5997 would retain this provision, and extend it to cities that hold their regular election for city office “biennially.” Further, the bill specifies that a city that holds its regular election primary for a city official annually or biennially on the August regular primary election date would continue holding primary elections on that schedule.

House Bill 5997 specifies that, if on September 1, 2004, a city held its regular election annually on the November regular election date, the city council could choose to hold the regular election at the regular election at the even-year general election by adopting a resolution in compliance with this section. Further, if a city council adopted the resolution in compliance with this section to hold its regular election at the even-year general election, then after December 31, 2004, the city’s regular election would be at the even-year election. Finally, if a city’s election were held at the even-year general election, then the city’s regular election primary would be held at the even-year primary election.

House Bill 5997 also specifies that a village council may make a one-time choice to hold the regular election at the September primary election by adopting a resolution, and the village clerk would conduct the election. Under the bill, the township would be required to make voting equipment available to a village, and if the village were located in more than one township, then the township with the largest number of village electors would be required to furnish the voting equipment.

Under the law, a resolution permitted under Section 642a of the election law is valid only if a city council, a village council, or a school board adopts a resolution in compliance with certain provisions, including among other things, the requirement that before adopting the resolution, the council or school board holds at least one public hearing on the resolution. House Bill 5997 would retain this provision, and add that the public hearing could be held on the same day and immediately before considering the adoption of the resolution.

House Bill 5998 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.644g) to eliminate unnecessary language in the provision that extends an official’s term in office when an election date was changed. Currently under the law, and also under the bill, an official serves until a successor is elected and qualified, if a regular election date is changed.

House Bill 5999 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.642a) to include villages among the local units of government whose officials may select their election date. The bill specifies that after December 31, 2004, a village council that had adopted a resolution to hold the village’s regular election on the September primary election date could change its regular election to November, by adopting a resolution. If a village council adopted such a resolution, then after December 31 of the year the resolution was

adopted, the village's regular election would be held on the November regular election date.

House Bill 6000 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.646a) to strike unnecessary language concerning ballot questions, and the election of state officers. Currently the law specifies that if a local, school district, or county ballot question is to be voted on at a primary, special, regular, or general election, at which state officers are to be voted for, the wording of the ballot question must be certified to the local or county clerk at least 70 days before the election. The bill would retain this provision, but strike the phrase "at which state officers are to be voted for."

House Bill 6001 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.659) to provide that in case of a conflict between sections 659 and 661 of the law regarding precinct consolidation, then section 659 would supercede section 661. [Section 661 concerns, among other things, the division of precincts following the federal census. Section 659 which would control, concerns the consolidation of election precincts.] Currently under the law, if a county, city, ward, township, village, or school district was divided into two or more election precincts, then any one of those jurisdictions could consolidate the election precincts for a particular election (only if the election was not a general November election, primary election, or other statewide or federal election). However, in making the determination to consolidate precincts, the election commission is required to take into consideration 1) the number of choices the voter must make, 2) the percentage of registered votes who voted in the last similar election, and 3) the intensity of the interest of the electors concerning the candidates and proposals to be voted on. House Bill 6001 would retain all of these provisions, and add that in case of a conflict between Section 659 and Section 661 regarding the population of precincts, then Section 659 would control.

House Bill 6002 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.699) to specify the order of position for village officers who would appear on the nonpartisan ballot. Currently under the law, at the general November election, the names of the nonpartisan officers to be voted for must be placed on a separate judicial ballot in the following order: justices of the supreme court, judges of the court of appeals, judges of the circuit court, judges of the probate court, and circuit court commissioners in the years in which they are elected. House Bill 6002 would retain this order, but remove the term "judicial" to describe this portion of the ballot. In addition, the bill would add the following village offices in substantially the following order, in years in which elections for village officers were held: president, clerk, treasurer, and trustees, and in any year in which an election for the office was held, school board member.

House Bill 6003 would amend the Michigan Election Law (MCL 168.972) to clarify with whom a candidate seeking to fill an unexpired term created by a recall of a school board member, files nominating petitions, or in the alternative, pays a \$100 fee. Currently under the law, a candidate files his or her nominating petition, or in lieu of the petitions, files his or her \$100 nonrefundable fee, with the school district filing official. The bill would

eliminate the clause “school district filing official,” and make reference, instead, to “school district election coordinator.”

House Bill 6004 would amend the Home Rule Village Act (MCL 78.21) to provide home rule village officials the option of holding their regular or special election in compliance with section 642 or section 642a of the Michigan Election Law. Currently under the law, a home rule village holds its regular or special election in compliance with section 642. [Section 642 of the election law (which becomes effective September 1, 2004) concerns the added regular election or regular primary election. Section 642a of the election law (which also becomes effective September 1, 2004) concerns the added change of regular election or regular primary election to odd year.]

House Bill 6005 would amend the General Law Village Act (MCL 62.1, 62.5, and 63.1) to provide for elections in general law villages under the election consolidation laws. Specifically, the bill would give general law village officials the option of holding their regular or special election in compliance with Section 642 or Section 642a of the Michigan Election Law. Under the newly enacted legislation, a home rule village holds its regular or special election in compliance with Section 642. [Section 642 of the election law (which becomes effective September 1, 2004) concerns the added regular election or regular primary election. Section 642a of the election law (which also becomes effective September 1, 2004) allows for a change in the regular election or regular primary election to the odd-year election.]

In addition, currently under the law, the council of a general law village may, by two-thirds vote, provide by ordinance for the reduction in the number of trustees to four, who with the president constitute the council. House Bill 6005 would retain this provision, and also specify that by a two-thirds vote the council may provide “for the election of all trustees at the same election for two-year terms, at the first possible election after 2004.” Further, currently under the law, an ordinance adopted under this subsection must be voted on and adopted at a meeting that occurs not less than 10 days after the initial meeting or public hearing at which it was considered, *and* specific notice must be provided that the council will consider the ordinance is published not less than 10 days before the meeting, in a newspaper of general circulation in the village. House Bill 6005 would retain these provisions, and extend them to an ordinance that would “change the time of election of the trustees comprising the council.”

Legislative Analyst: J. Hunault

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House staff for use by House members in their deliberations, and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.