

No. 15
STATE OF MICHIGAN
Journal of the Senate
100th Legislature
REGULAR SESSION OF 2019

Senate Chamber, Lansing, Thursday, February 14, 2019.

10:00 a.m.

The Senate was called to order by the President, Lieutenant Governor Garlin D. Gilchrist II.

The roll was called by the Secretary of the Senate, who announced that a quorum was present.

Alexander—present
Ananich—present
Barrett—present
Bayer—present
Bizon—present
Brinks—present
Bullock—present
Bumstead—present
Chang—present
Daley—present
Geiss—present
Hertel—present
Hollier—present

Horn—present
Irwin—present
Johnson—present
LaSata—present
Lauwers—present
Lucido—present
MacDonald—present
MacGregor—present
McBroom—present
McCann—present
McMorrow—present
Moss—present
Nesbitt—present

Outman—present
Polehanki—present
Runestad—present
Santana—present
Schmidt—present
Shirkey—present
Stamas—present
Theis—present
VanderWall—present
Victory—present
Wojno—present
Zorn—present

Father Ben Huynh of SS. John and Bernard Parish of Benton Harbor offered the following invocation:

Almighty God, we thank You that You know each of us by name and have caused us to walk with You and with each other. We are dependent on You and our trust is in You completely as we surrender ourselves to Your providence and guidance. So we ask You to be among us and send Your Holy Spirit to inspire our hearts.

Almighty Father, fill our lives with Your love so we can share love. Fill our lives with Your grace and truth so we can serve the people who You entrusted to us.

We ask this through Your beloved Son, our Lord Jesus Christ. Amen.

The President, Lieutenant Governor Gilchrist, led the members of the Senate in recital of the *Pledge of Allegiance*.

Motions and Communications

Recess

Senator MacGregor moved that the Senate recess subject to the call of the Chair.
The motion prevailed, the time being 10:03 a.m.

10:41 a.m.

The Senate was called to order by the President, Lieutenant Governor Gilchrist.

The following communication was received and read:
Office of Senator Ed McBroom

February 14, 2019

The Senate Oversight Committee has completed its review of Executive Order 2019-02 (EO) as requested by your committee. The EO affects the structure, policies, and initiatives of various departments, particularly the current Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), and was issued by the governor pursuant to Article V, Section 2 of the State Constitution of 1963.

Article V, Section 2 of the State Constitution of 1963 also provides the Legislature with the authority to review executive orders within 60 days, and it is the responsibility of the Legislature to not only do so carefully and judiciously, but also to disapprove when warranted. The Oversight Committee has conducted hearings specific to the EO accordingly, which have included a review of the recently passed laws that the EO seeks to overhaul. The findings of the Committee are as follows:

- Michigan is blessed with unique, abundant, and pristine natural resources. The responsibility of protecting and conserving the State's air, water, and other natural resources falls primarily upon the *Legislature* under the State Constitution. Article V, Section 52 states, "The conservation and development of the natural resources of the state are hereby declared to be of paramount public concern in the interest of the health, safety and general welfare of the people. The legislature shall provide for the protection of the air, water and other natural resources of the state from pollution, impairment and destruction." Article V, Section 51 of the State Constitution similarly charges the *Legislature* with passing suitable laws for the protection and promotion of the public health.

- Through appropriations and the enactment of public policies, the Legislature has been, and remains, committed to fulfilling its constitutional and moral obligations to ensure the health and safety of Michigan's citizens and families. Striving for a state with a clean environment, energy needs that are met, and drinking water that is safe is not a partisan endeavor; it must be a universal effort consisting of cooperation and coordination between the legislative and executive branches of government. The Governor's stated efforts to that end are commendable.

- The success of any republican form of government depends on the strength of its most democratic institutions, the consent of the governed, and the rule of law. While the executive branch serves the critical function of administering laws, it is the Legislature, as the branch closest to, and representative of, the people that expresses the intent of the citizenry through the creation of laws. The tendency of executive branch entities, particularly those with significant enforcement powers such as the DEQ, to self-aggrandize and progress towards detached determinations is incontrovertible. Administrative rules and procedures become tools to impede public and legislative involvement, creating a quasi-legislative process without the consent of the governed. It is incumbent on the Legislature, as an extension of the people, to check those tendencies and ensure accountability in government. A responsibility it arguably does not take on often enough.

- The Legislature created the Environmental Permit Review Commission, Environmental Rules Review Committee, and Environmental Science Advisory Board in June 2018 after hearing repeated examples of citizens

in this state struggling with inconsistent enforcement and ever-changing regulatory schemes within the DEQ. These entities were designed to provide an avenue for citizens to be heard without having to capitulate in a sue-and-settle situation or spend hundreds-of-thousands of dollars pursuing administrative or court appeals to simply receive a permit. These panels were modeled after those in other states that have been highly successful in creating collaboration between groups of varied opinions, obtaining consensus, and expediting rules benefiting all interested parties.

- Elimination of these essential panels, as well as the oversight and transparency that they provide, does not provide justice or improve government for the citizens of this state. Abolishing the boards is an objectionable step backward, especially for property owners, farmers, and businesses large and small who have experienced an unclear and often unreasonable permitting or regulatory process, sometimes with a predetermined outcome.

- The EO, coupled with the veto by the previous administration of senate bills 100 and 101, leaves the department in the more powerful position in litigation because it costs the departments and its personnel nothing to enter litigation against a citizen or to defend its decision. Meanwhile, without these panels or the vetoed laws, the citizen has to bear the full costs to attain justice through the courts.

- The EO creates new entities made up of persons within the primary department and other executive agencies. These entities do not contain the transparency or accountability of citizen-member boards, nor do they seek to assure fidelity to laws regarding the issuance of permits or create a mechanism for appeal of administrative determinations and denials. In short, while they may serve admirable goals, they do little to ensure a simple, citizen-accessible regulatory system.

- The EO creates panels and positions, along with mandates to existing officers and offices, to aggressively advance an undefined conceptual idea of environmental justice. It is inherently antithetical to republican government and its democratic processes to allow an agency with police powers to function under such a broad mandate with no statutorily defined parameters.

The citizens of Michigan deserve a government that is responsive to their needs. While we respect the Governor's authority to reorganize that branch of government, the changes proposed will not accomplish the stated goals of less bureaucracy and more transparency. The evidence of trouble within the DEQ predates the laws the EO alters without allowing them to be implemented while returning the structure predating those laws. However, there are parts of the EO which create new panels, change names, and relocate divisions which are not necessarily reasons to reject the EO and are fair starting points for future discussions on improving the agencies tasked with protecting our natural resources.

Therefore, this Committee recommends that the Senate, in concurrence with the House of Representatives, by HCR 1, disapprove Executive Order 2019-2.

Rather than abolishing the panels by executive decree before they have begun to function, it is more appropriate, transparent, and accountable to the citizens to address any specific problem or objection to the laws governing those panels through the ordinary legislative process. It is also critical that concepts such as environmental justice be defined by law so the regulatory and penal actions not be subjectively implemented.

Should you have any questions, the chair is pleased to meet with you and your committee.

Respectfully,
Ed McBroom, Chair
Peter J. Lucido
Lana Theis
Michael MacDonald

The communication was referred to the Secretary for record.

The following communication was received:

Office of Senator Dayna Polehanki

February 11, 2019

Per Senate Rule 1.110(c) I am requesting that my name be added as a co-sponsor the Senate Bills:

92 which was introduced 2/7/19, by Senator Santana

93 which was introduced 2/7/19, by Senator Chang

94 which was introduced 2/7/19, by Senator Irwin

95 which was introduced 2/7/19, by Senator Santana

96 which was introduced 2/7/19, by Senator Hollier

97 which was introduced 2/7/19, by Senator Hertel

98 which was introduced 2/7/19, by Senator Bullock

All bills were referred to the Committee on Judiciary and Public Safety.

Sincerely,
Senator Dayna Polehanki
District 7

The communication was referred to the Secretary for record.

The following communication was received:
Office of Senator Jeremy Moss

February 13, 2019

I would like to add my name as co-sponsor to Senate Bill 14 introduced by Sen. Winnie Brinks.
Jeremy Moss

The communication was referred to the Secretary for record.

Senator MacGregor moved that the rules be suspended and that the following concurrent resolution, now on Committee Reports, be placed on the Resolutions calendar for consideration today:

House Concurrent Resolution No. 1

The motion did not prevail, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Senator MacGregor requested the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered, 1/5 of the members present voting therefor.

The motion prevailed, a majority of the members voting therefor, as follows:

Roll Call No. 6

Yeas—22

| | | | |
|----------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Barrett | LaSata | Nesbitt | Stamas |
| Bizon | Lauwers | Outman | Theis |
| Bumstead | Lucido | Runestad | VanderWall |
| Daley | MacDonald | Schmidt | Victory |
| Horn | MacGregor | Shirkey | Zorn |
| Johnson | McBroom | | |

Nays—16

| | | | |
|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Bayer | Geiss | McCann | Santana |
| Brinks | Hertel | McMorrow | Wojno |
| Alexander | Bullock | Hollier | Moss |
| Ananich | Chang | Irwin | Polehanki |

Excused—0

Not Voting—0

In The Chair: President

Resolutions

House Concurrent Resolution No. 1.

A concurrent resolution to disapprove Executive Order No. 2019-02.

The question being on the adoption of the concurrent resolution,

The concurrent resolution was not adopted, a majority of the members serving not voting therefor.

Senator MacGregor requested the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered, 1/5 of the members present voting therefor.

The concurrent resolution was adopted, a majority of the members serving voting therefor, as follows:

Roll Call No. 7

Yeas—22

| | | | |
|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Barrett | LaSata | Nesbitt | Stamas |
| Bizon | Lauwers | Outman | Theis |

| | | | |
|----------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Bumstead | Lucido | Runestad | VanderWall |
| Daley | MacDonald | Schmidt | Victory |
| Horn | MacGregor | Shirkey | Zorn |
| Johnson | McBroom | | |

Nays—16

| | | | |
|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Bayer | Geiss | McCann | Santana |
| Brinks | Hertel | McMorrow | Wojno |
| Alexander | Bullock | Hollier | Moss |
| Ananich | Chang | Irwin | Polehanki |

Excused—0

Not Voting—0

In The Chair: President

Protests

Senators Irwin, Bayer, McMorrow, Chang, Hollier, Brinks, Hertel and Wojno, under their constitutional right of protest (Art. 4, Sec. 18), protested against the adoption of House Concurrent Resolution No. 1.

Senators Irwin, Bayer, McMorrow, Chang, Brinks and Hertel moved that the statements they made during the discussion of the concurrent resolution be printed as their reasons for voting “no.”

The motion prevailed.

Senator Irwin’s statement is as follows:

I rise today to oppose House Concurrent Resolution No. 1 and to support Governor Whitmer’s clean water executive order. In general, I just want to take a moment to say that I’m really excited that we have a Governor who is serious about protecting the Great Lakes, our drinking water, and Michigan’s amazing natural resources. Our State Constitution sets this out as one of our most fundamental responsibilities. Our state government needs to protect our natural resources for the people today and for future generations.

It’s been my observation since coming to this capitol in 2011, and from watching the process as a young man even before I got to the Capitol, that this Michigan Legislature—for many years before any of us got here—has been falling down on its duties to protect our Great Lakes and to stand up for clean water. That’s why we have a situation in the state right now where hundreds, really thousands, of our citizens are drinking polluted water. Citizens in my district are drinking water polluted with PFAS, and because of the inaction of this Legislature, we don’t even have a clean-up standard for those chemicals. This is just one example. This is true of the people of Rockford, of the people in Oscoda, of the people in Parchment, and people up in Traverse City. We’ve got people all over our state who are drinking dirty water—kids who are drinking dirty water—while this Legislature debates a resolution to oppose the Governor’s efforts to protect clean water.

You know, we heard a lot in committee about the problems at the DEQ. I will be the first to admit that there are problems at any big department and it’s important that we and the executive office try to get those problems sorted out. We heard time and time again of these stories of—it was positioned to the committee as—standing up for the little guy. In this case, the little guy was someone who was trying to build a snowmobile shed or someone who was trying to build a logging road. I don’t deny that there are those anecdotes, but when you look at the permitting processes at the DEQ, over 99 percent of the permits that are applied for are granted. Most of the action over at DEQ isn’t about snowmobiling sheds and logging roads, mostly it’s about industrial pollution. Big companies that are putting toxic, cancer-causing chemicals into our air and water. Chemicals that we and our children then take into our bodies. Those are the little guys that I’m standing up for. Those are the little guys that I’m thinking about. The people here in our state, all over Michigan, who have to deal with the outcomes here in Lansing. I think that those little guys, those young people, they are counting on us.

The people of Michigan are counting on us to follow through on our constitutional obligations to protect the natural resources for people now and in the future. But they’re not the only ones counting on us. I’m sure all of us, maybe some of us more than others, have heard from another group that is counting on the action of the Legislature today, and that’s the polluters. The polluters are counting on us and that’s why we’re here—powerful special interests last year passed legislation to create polluter panels. We have an Environmental

Rules Committee and an Environmental Permit Committee that have the power to veto regulatory actions to protect clean water. They have the power to veto actions that our professional scientists and our Governor's appointee have taken to discharge her responsibilities under the law and under our Constitution. I think that's fundamentally wrong. I think that it's a conflict of interest. I think that if we were talking about reinstating real citizen oversight panels like we used to have with the Natural Resources Commission before former Governor Engler destroyed that kind of citizen accountability, if we were talking about that, I think you would find a lot of agreement on this side of the aisle. I will speak for myself—I agree with that.

I've been fighting for citizen review committees for the DEQ for a long time, but that's not what we're talking about here today. We're talking about polluter panels. Panels that are loaded with people who are in regulated industries, people who are polluters seeking permits to pollute who are now going to have a veto power over the professional staff and the Governor who is accountable to the people of Michigan.

That's what we're really debating here. These polluters are counting on us. You know, what they are counting on is they are counting on us to support their continued success in delaying our responsibilities to protect our environment. They have been incredibly successful. The DEQ has been slow to adopt new rules. We have chemicals that are in our environment now that we know are causing our people's cancer and we know are causing other people health problems, and the DEQ is unable to act because this Legislature has been so beholden to those same polluters who are now trying to be put in charge of the process. I think that is wrong. I think it's a conflict of interest and I, for one, stand in support of the Governor's action to support clean water and oppose this resolution.

Senator Bayer's statement is as follows:

I rise to explain my "no" vote on House Concurrent Resolution No. 1.

I have traveled all around my district in the last couple of years. Everyone I talked to was afraid about their water. They were afraid whether or not it was safe to drink the water coming out of their faucet. They were afraid of having lead in the water. Now they are afraid of lead and PFAS in their water. And a couple of months from now, they're probably going to be afraid of something else that we found in their water. We continue to identify new toxins and we need to be able to respond quickly, to remediate, and to resolve those problems as they come up so that the people of my district and the people of Michigan are not afraid to drink their water.

Governor Whitmer's Executive Order No. 2 makes the changes that we need to put efficiency into our system that will enable us to be able to react quickly and adequately to take care of these issues as soon as we understand them, not wait years and put up with people being afraid and being hurt by their water.

I urge my colleagues to support Executive Order No. 2 and to vote "no" on House Concurrent Resolution No. 1.

Senator McMorrow's statement is as follows:

I rise today in opposition to House Concurrent Resolution No. 1, the effort to reject the executive order put forth by Governor Whitmer, to reorganize and streamline the DEQ. I rise to explain my "no" vote because we have a trust issue in Michigan. We rank dead last nationwide in terms of government ethics and transparency, but we don't need a ranking to know that. Everybody in this room knows that. We were elected here by our residents who lack trust in government to do the right thing—to protect people, to be nimble, to be streamlined, to be able to be responsive in a timely fashion.

We don't have that right now, and this effort by our current Governor was one to improve on the efforts from the previous administration, not to abolish it, because I trust that the previous administration and everybody in this room who worked on the efforts to put these panels in place were well-intentioned in terms of making government more responsive. But, what we've seen is that it adds an extra layer of complication. We see the effects that that has. We see it in the Flint water crisis. We see it in the looming PFAS crisis. I see it when I walk around my district and I talk to a woman in Clawson who tells me that she boils her water every day because she does not trust the system. She does not trust that we are responsive, that we are nimble, and that we are putting people first. Even members of the business community want us to be more nimble, more responsive, and more transparent about our processes.

This is an effort to put that transparency and that nimbleness in place—not create extra layers of government, not create bloated government, but to create streamlined government. I think all of us have had that asked from our residents, from the residents of the state of Michigan, and frankly, in a time when we are more divided than ever, that we should work together to support our Governor, to support our residents, and to send a very loud and clear message that we are here to streamline our processes, not make them more bloated.

Senator Chang's statement, in which Senator Hollier concurred, is as follows:

I rise to explain my "no" vote on House Concurrent Resolution No. 1.

Starting well before I took office in 2015, I heard far too many stories from residents in and around my district about waking up coughing in the middle of the night because of the air pollution from a nearby refinery or plant that was triggering their asthma.

I have heard far too many stories from residents about how loved ones in their family died or suffered from COPD, lung cancer, or other respiratory diseases because of the pollution from industrial sites or the heavy truck traffic on their way to or from the international bridge blocks away from their home. The asthma hospitalization rate, as many of you might know, is actually three times the state's average. This is a reality that I can't ignore.

I talked with residents like Nicole Hill, who had her water shut off at her home because she simply could not afford her water bill. She and her children suffered the consequences that thousands of families in Detroit and metro Detroit know too well—she ended up at a hospital because of a health situation that was a direct result of not having access to water. She and about 100 other individuals from around our state came to the Capitol in June 2015 to share their testimony at a hearing that we organized here at the Capitol about water quality and affordability. Yet, their voices are still not heard.

And of course, the Detroit Public Schools Community District last fall dealt with the aftermath of lead testing at the schools that found significant lead contamination, affecting our youngest residents in multiple schools across my city.

Governor Whitmer's Executive Order No. 2019-2 took bold, necessary, and long-overdue action toward addressing environmental injustices in our state. Whether it's clean air to breathe or safe and affordable water to drink, we are the Great Lakes State and can and must do better. Creating an environmental justice public advocate position and developing an environmental justice interagency task force that will do the work that previous administrations failed to prioritize are incredibly important actions that could dramatically impact the lives and the public health of residents in my district and your district. And yes, abolishing three corporate- and industry-led, all-too-powerful boards is important so that we can set our state's work back on track.

These critical actions will give my residents and your residents greater access to address environmental justice and public health. Being able to hold polluters more accountable and address the ways in which pollution disproportionately impacts certain vulnerable communities is something that residents and community leaders have been asking for and demanding for literally decades.

Rejecting this executive order is not simply about the last Legislature or the current Governor. This resolution is a statement to my residents and Michiganders in your districts too who have struggled for years to be heard, saying today that their voices matter less, and that industry and corporate polluters' voices matter more.

This resolution puts a stop towards progress toward environmental justice. And this resolution cuts at the very heart of what we were sent here to do as public servants—to ensure that our residents, especially those most vulnerable—have the quality of life that they deserve.

I urge a “no” vote on House Concurrent Resolution No. 1.

Senator Brinks' statement is as follows:

I rise to offer my “no” vote explanation on House Concurrent Resolution No. 1.

We have a duty in this state—of all states, here in the Great Lakes State—to protect our drinking water. Families should be able to trust the water flowing from their taps and to trust that it is safe for them, for their children, and for the entire community. For too many households in west Michigan and across the state, this is not the case. Executive Order No. 2019-2 is intended to better protect the people of our state and a vote against it is a vote to put the health of our residents in jeopardy now and in the future.

The committees driving today's resolution add an extra layer to the rule-making and permitting process that delay our ability to put in place basic, reasonable safeguards from toxins like PFAS that could cause everything from developmental issues in children to life-threatening diseases such as cancer. This system of the fox guarding the henhouse would allow industries and corporations to overrule environmental regulations they simply don't like.

In west Michigan, we know what happens when polluters go unchecked and people get sick. People face fertility difficulties; it's difficult to get pregnant. Babies who are born have low birth weights and there's a lifetime of worries about their children's health. Immune systems can be compromised. Vaccines in some cases don't work. Husbands die of cancer; wives get thyroid disease. Sisters and brothers live with the uncertainty of wondering if they will suffer the same fate. Grandparents wonder if they have contributed to making their grandchildren sick by giving them a glass of water when they visit. But that's not all. Property values plummet; savings disappear; endless dollars are spent on filters, wells, and doctor bills; and our economy suffers.

This effort to overturn Executive Order No. 2019-2 is a move that disregards the health and safety of the people we serve. That's why I strongly oppose House Concurrent Resolution No. 1 and I appreciate the opportunity to speak.

Senator Hertel's statement, in which Senator Wojno concurred, is as follows:

Pure Michigan. What we are known for, what Michigan has that no one else can claim. From our Great Lakes to our rivers and streams, clean, beautiful Michigan is who we are. But you wouldn't know it by looking at the news. From 9,000 kids poisoned in Flint, from industrial corporate polluters making our water undrinkable for thousands of Michiganders, to raw sewage in Lake St. Clair, Michigan's environment—our water, who we are—is under attack.

The Governor in her infinite wisdom chose to use her power to fight for our people, to fight for who we are, to fight for Michigan. Now, today, on Valentine's Day, a day of affection for who and what you love, you, my colleagues, have a choice. Do you show your love for our greatest resources—to protect the environment, and to not stand in the way of a Governor trying to remove the red tape that will slow down environmental progress and protection?

Make no mistakes, my colleagues, on this Valentine's Day, a vote to reject this order is a love letter to corporate polluters and it's a Dear John letter to your constituents. I urge you to vote “no.”

Introduction and Referral of Bills

Senator Lucido introduced

Senate Bill No. 114, entitled

A bill to amend 1927 PA 175, entitled “The code of criminal procedure,” (MCL 760.1 to 777.69) by adding section 25c to chapter IV.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Judiciary and Public Safety.

Senator Santana introduced

Senate Bill No. 115, entitled

A bill to amend 1927 PA 284, entitled “Business corporation act,” by amending section 1002 (MCL 450.2002), as amended by 2008 PA 402, and by adding section 505a.

The bill was read a first and second time by title and referred to the Committee on Economic and Small Business Development.

Announcements of Printing and Enrollment

The Secretary announced that the following bills and resolutions were printed and filed on Wednesday, February 13, and are available on the Michigan Legislature website:

Senate Bill Nos. 108 109 110 111 112 113

Senate Resolution Nos. 12 13

**House Bill Nos. 4165 4166 4167 4168 4169 4170 4171 4172 4173 4174 4175 4176 4177
4178 4179 4180 4181 4182 4183**

Committee Reports

The Committee on Oversight reported

House Concurrent Resolution No. 1.

A concurrent resolution to disapprove Executive Order No. 2019-02.

(For text of resolution, see Senate Journal No. 12, p. 110.)

With the recommendation that the concurrent resolution be adopted.

Ed McBroom

Chairperson

To Report Out:

Yeas: Senators McBroom, Lucido, Theis and MacDonald

Nays: Senator Irwin

The concurrent resolution was placed on the order of Resolutions.

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The Committee on Oversight submitted the following:

Meeting held on Thursday, February 14, 2019 at 8:30 a.m., Room 1300, Binsfeld Office Building

Present: Senators McBroom (C), Lucido, Theis, MacDonald and Irwin

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The Committee on Insurance and Banking submitted the following:

Meeting held on Wednesday, February 13, 2019 at 8:30 a.m., Room 1100, Binsfeld Office Building

Present: Senators Theis (C), Lauwers, LaSata, Nesbitt, Daley, Barrett, Horn, Geiss, Bullock and McMorrow

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The Committee on Oversight submitted the following:

Meeting held on Wednesday, February 13, 2019 at 9:15 a.m., Room 1200, Binsfeld Office Building

Present: Senators McBroom (C), Lucido, Theis, MacDonald and Irwin

COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE REPORT

The Committee on Advice and Consent submitted the following:

Meeting held on Wednesday, February 13, 2019 at 3:00 p.m., Room 1100, Binsfeld Office Building

Present: Senators Lucido (C), LaSata, Nesbitt, McBroom and Hertel

Scheduled Meetings**Appropriations -****Subcommittees -**

Justice and Public Safety - Thursday, February 28, 1:30 p.m., Room 1300, Binsfeld Office Building (517) 373-2768

Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA)/Department of Insurance and Financial Services (DIFS) - Thursday, February 21, 8:30 a.m., Room 1300, Binsfeld Office Building (517) 373-2768

Natural Resources and Environmental Quality - Tuesday, February 19, 8:30 a.m., Rooms 402 and 403, Capitol Building (517) 373-2768

Energy and Technology - Tuesday, February 19, 2:00 p.m., Room 1100, Binsfeld Office Building (517) 373-1721

Environmental Quality - Tuesday, February 19, 1:00 p.m., Room 1200, Binsfeld Office Building (517) 373-5323

Finance - Wednesday, February 20, 12:30 p.m., Room 1200, Binsfeld Office Building (517) 373-5312

Senator MacGregor moved that the Senate adjourn.

The motion prevailed, the time being 11:13 a.m.

The President, Lieutenant Governor Gilchrist, declared the Senate adjourned until Tuesday, February 19, 2019, at 10:00 a.m.

MARGARET O'BRIEN
Secretary of the Senate

