



# AMERICANS *for* TAX REFORM

Grover G. Norquist  
*President*

April 30, 2013

Honorable Jeff Farrington, Chair  
House Tax Policy Committee  
Anderson House Office Building  
124 North Capitol Avenue  
P.O. Box 30014  
Lansing, MI 48909-7514

Speaker Jase Bolger  
Speaker of the House  
Anderson House Office Building  
124 North Capitol Avenue  
P.O. Box 30014  
Lansing, MI 48909-7514

Dear Chairman Farrington & Speaker Bolger:

**I write in opposition to House Bills 4202 and 4203, which would establish an Internet tax and loosen Michigan's physical nexus standard for tax collection.** The bills would require out-of-state retailers to collect and remit sales tax on products purchased by residents. This legislation will directly harm Michigan businesses, fail to collect new tax revenue or level the tax playing field, and make out-of-state companies think twice about investing in Michigan.

HB 4202 and 4203 would partially dissolve the physical nexus standard for tax collection and push the long arm of the tax collector past its appropriate state boundary. The U.S. Supreme Court's ruling in *Quill v. North Dakota* expressly forbids states from forcing out-of-state businesses with no physical presence to collect and remit sales taxes.

Any attempts to circumvent the *Quill* decision by presuming a company has physical nexus if business is solicited through a third-party advertiser in the state, goes against the Supreme Court's ruling. A similar law is undergoing legal challenge in New York. Also concerning is the section that will deter out-of-state investment in Michigan by forcing collection obligations on companies that take even a very small ownership stake of a company in the state.

If history is a guide, the measure will put Michigan's Internet advertisers out of work, fail to raise revenue for the state, and perpetuate whatever unfair tax playing field currently exists. In each state the affiliate nexus tax has been enacted, retailers have terminated affiliate contracts to avoid the unconstitutional tax, causing tens of thousands of in-state advertisers to go out of business. This also severs the out-of-state retailers' nexus in Michigan so that no new tax is collected, rendering the intent – leveling the playing field – irrelevant.

722 12<sup>th</sup> Street N.W.

Fourth Floor

Washington, D.C.

20005

T: (202) 785-0266

F: (202) 785-0261

[www.atr.org](http://www.atr.org)

Questions of interstate tax collection fall under the purview of the U.S. Congress, as the *Quill* case itself noted. Congress is currently reviewing varying legislation in the area of remote seller tax collection and I advise Michigan lawmakers to refrain from taking a preemptive and likely unconstitutional course.

Poor enforcement of “use tax” law is no justification for constitutionally dubious legislation, especially if its only guarantee is to negatively impact Michiganders. I strongly urge you to reject House Bills 4202 and 4203. If you have any questions, please contact Katie McAuliffe, (202) 785-0266.

Onward,



Grover G. Norquist

CC: Michigan House Tax Policy Committee